UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UV	NITED STATES OF AMERICA		
V. V.		ORDER OF DETENTION PEN	DING TRIAL
	Clemente Mendoza-Fuentes	Case Number: <u>11-02156M-001</u>	
In accordance present and w detention of the	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 vas represented by counsel. I conclude b ne defendant pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing was held on February 23 a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a	, 2011. Defendant was flight risk and order the
	ponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
××	The defendant is not a citizen of the l	nited States or lawfully admitted for permanent resid	dence.
\boxtimes		ged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
\boxtimes	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.		
The C	he hearing in this matter, except as note	al findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were in the record. NCLUSIONS OF LAW	reviewed by the Court
1.	There is a serious risk that the defend		
2.	No condition or combination of conditi	ns will reasonably assure the appearance of the def	endant as required.
		IS REGARDING DETENTION	•
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable, efendant shall be afforded a reasonable of tates or on request of an attorney for the	e Attorney General or his/her designated representarom persons awaiting or serving sentences or being he portunity for private consultation with defense couns Government, the person in charge of the corrections of an appearance in connection with a court procee	neld in custody pending sel. On order of a court facility shall deliver the
	APPEALS	ND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
IT IS C deliver a copy of Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this of the motion for review/reconsideration to	etention order be filed with the District Court, it is cou Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing	ınsel's responsibility to ı set before the District
Services suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to iently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	third party is to be considered, it is counsel's respon ne District Court to allow Pretrial Services an oppor	sibility to notify Pretrial tunity to interview and
DATE: Febru	uary 23, 2011_		
	· · · · · ·	JAY R. IRWI United States Magistr	